

		Sumn	
1-4-	41110111	<u> </u>	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	->////////	121 W
*****		Juliu	iui v

Application No.

O9/752,292

Chenchik et al.

Examiner

Group Art Unit

,	S. Zitomer	1634
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) S. Zitomer	(3) <i>Kathleen Dal Bon</i>	
(2) Bret Field For Applicant	(4)	
Date of Interview Mar 27, 2002	_	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) X applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🗓 No. If yes, brief descripti	on:
Claim(s) discussed: all in general especially claims 1, 16,	22 and 26	
Burmer (6,087,103) et ol.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. Substance of Interview including description of the general	-	
any other comments:		
Examiner expressed positive outlook regarding allowability invention but not providing obviousness. Applicant will re		
will consider amending the array and set claims to direct to	them to the product of the metho	
relatinship between tag and the tag complement will be complement will be complement.	larified.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) lt is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of	the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendations of the summary of Recommendation of the summary	PEP section 713.04). If a reply t ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	o the last Office action has FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.